arXiv:1303.6498v1 [math-ph] 26 Mar 2013

NUMBER AND PROFILE OF LOW ENERGY SOLUTIONS FOR SINGULARLY PERTURBED KLEIN GORDON MAXWELL SYSTEMS ON A RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

MARCO GHIMENTI AND ANNA MARIA MICHELETTI

Abstract. Given a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M,g), we investigate the existence of positive solutions of the Klein-Gordon-Maxwell system

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon^2\Delta_g u + au = u^{p-1} + \omega^2(qv-1)^2u & \text{in } M \\ -\Delta_g v + (1+q^2u^2)v = qu^2 & \text{in } M \end{cases}$$

and Schrödinger-Maxwell system

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon^2\Delta_g u + u + \omega uv = u^{p-1} & \text{in } M \\ -\Delta_g v + v = qu^2 & \text{in } M \end{cases}$$

when $p \in (4,6)$. We prove that the number of one peak solutions depends on the topological properties of the manifold M, by means of the Lusternik Schnirelmann category.

1. Introduction

Let (M,g) be a smooth, compact, boundaryless, 3 dimensional Riemannian manifold.

We consider the following singularly perturbed electrostatic Klein-Gordon-Maxwell system

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon^2\Delta_g u + au = |u|^{p-2}u + \omega^2(qv-1)^2u & \text{in } M \\ -\Delta_g v + (1+q^2u^2)v = qu^2 & \text{in } M \\ u,v>0 \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$, $a > 0$, $q > 0$, $\omega \in (-\sqrt{a},\sqrt{a})$ and $2 < p < 6$, and the following Schroedinger Maxwell system.

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon^2\Delta_g u + u + \omega uv = |u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } M \\ -\Delta_g v + v = qu^2 & \text{in } M \\ u,v>0 \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$, $q > 0$, $\omega > 0$.

Date: March 27, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J60, 35J20, 35B40,58E30,81V10.

Key words and phrases. Riemannian manifolds, Klein-Gordon-Maxwell systems, Scrhödinger-Maxwell systems, Lusternik Schnirelmann category, one peak solutions.

Schroedinger Maxwell systems recently received considerable attention from the mathematical community. In the pioneering paper [10] Benci and Fortunato studied system (2) when $\varepsilon = 1$ and without nonlinearity. Regarding the system in a semiclassical regime Ruiz [34] and D'Aprile-Wei [16] showed the existence of a family of radially symmetric solutions respectively for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$ or a ball. D'Aprile-Wei [17] also proved the existence of clustered solutions in the case of a bounded domain Ω in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Moreover, when $\varepsilon = 1$ we have results of existence and nonexistence of solutions for pure power nonlinearities $f(v) = |v|^{p-2}v$, $2 < p < 6$ or in presence of a more general nonlinearity [1, 2, 3, 6, 14, 26, 27, 33, 36].

In particular, Siciliano [35] proves an estimate on the number of solution for a pure power nonlinearity when p is subcritical and close to the critical exponent.

Klein-Gordon-Maxwell systems are widely studied in physics and in mathematical physics (see for example [13, 21, 28, 29, 30]. In this setting, there are results of existence and non existence of solutions for subcritical nonlinear terms in a bounded domain Ω (see [4, 11, 12, 15, 18, 19, 20, 23, 31]).

As far as we know, the first result concerning the Klein-Gordon systems on manifolds is due to Druet-Hebey [22]. They prove uniform bounds and the existence of a solution for the system (1) when $\varepsilon = 1$, a is positive function and the exponent p is either subcritical or critical, i.e. $p \in (2, 6]$. In particular, the existence of a solution in the critical case, i.e. $p = 6$, is obtained provided the function a is suitable small with respect to the scalar curvature of the metric g.

In this paper we show that the topology of the manifold (M, g) has an effect on the number of positive solutions of the systems (1) and (2) with low energy. Our results read as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let $4 \leq p < 6$. For ε small enough we have at least $\operatorname{cat}(M) + 1$ non constant positive solutions of (1) with low energy. These solutions have a unique maximum point P_ε and $u_\varepsilon = W_{\varepsilon,P_\varepsilon} + \Psi_\varepsilon$ where $W_{\varepsilon,P_\varepsilon}$ is defined in (3) $\|\Psi_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.*

Theorem 2. *Let $4 < p < 6$. For ε small enough we have at least $\operatorname{cat}(M) + 1$ non constant positive solutions of (2) with low energy. These solutions have a unique maximum point P_ε and $u_\varepsilon = W_{\varepsilon,P_\varepsilon} + \Psi_\varepsilon$ where $W_{\varepsilon,P_\varepsilon}$ is defined in (3) $\|\Psi_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.*

In [24] the authors show that also the geometry of the manifold (M, g) has an effect on the number of positive solutions. More precisely the authors prove that any C^1-stable critical set of the scalar curvature S_g of (M, g) produces a solution for $2 \leq p < 6$.

Moreover, in [24] it is proved that generically with respect to the metric g, for ε small the (1) and the (2) systems have at least $P_1(M)$ solutions where $P_t(M)$ is the Poincaré polynomial of the manifold M in the variable t and $P_1(M)$ is the polynomial $P_t(M)$ evalued for $t = 1$.

Conluding, for any metric g we have at least $\operatorname{cat} M$ positive low energy solutions of KGM for $4 \leq p < 6$ and SM systems for $4 < p < 6$, and generically with respect to the metric g, we have at least $P_1(M) \geq \operatorname{cat} M$ positive low energy solutions of (1) and (2) for $2 \leq p < 6$.

In the following we always assume $4 \leq p < 6$ when dealing with KGM systems and $4 < p < 6$ when dealing with SM systems.

2. Notations and definitions

In the following we use the following notations.

- $B(x,r)$ is the ball in $\mathbb{R}^3$ centered in x with radius r.
- $B_g(x,r)$is the geodesic ball in M centered in x with radius r.
- $d_g(\cdot,\cdot)$is the geodesic distance in M.
- The function $U(x)$ is the unique positive spherically symmetric function in $\mathbb{R}^3$ such that
$$-\Delta U+(a-\omega^2)U=U^{p-1} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3$$
we remark that U and its first derivative decay exponentially at infinity.
- Given $\varepsilon>0$ we define $U_\varepsilon(x)=U\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$.
- Let $\chi_r:\mathbb{R}^+\to\mathbb{R}^+$ be a smooth cut off function such that $\chi_r\equiv 1$ on $[0,r/2)$, $\chi_r\equiv 0$ on $(r,+\infty)$, $|\chi_r'|\le 2/r$ and $|\chi_r''|\le 2/r^2$, r being the injectivity radius of M.
- Fixed $\xi\in M$ and $\varepsilon>0$ we define

$$W_{\varepsilon,\xi}=\begin{cases} U_\varepsilon\left(\exp_\xi^{-1}(x)\right)\chi_r\left(\left|\exp_\xi^{-1}(x)\right|\right) & x\in B_g(\xi,r);\\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.}\end{cases} \tag{3}$$

- We denote by supp φ the support of the function φ.
- We define

$$m_\infty=\inf_{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla v|^2+(a-\omega^2)v^2dx=|v|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}}\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla v|^2+(a-\omega^2)v^2dx-\frac{1}{p}|v|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

We also use the following notation for the different norms for $u\in H^1_g(M)$:

$$\|u\|^2_\varepsilon=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}\int_M\varepsilon^2|\nabla_g u|^2+(a-\omega^2)u^2d\mu_g \qquad |u|^p_{\varepsilon,p}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}\int_M|u|^pd\mu_g$$
$$\|u\|^2_{H^1_g}=\|u\|^2_g=\int_M|\nabla_g u|^2+u^2d\mu_g \qquad \|u\|^p_{L^p_g}=|u|^p_{p,g}=\int_M|u|^pd\mu_g$$

and analogously, for a function $u\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$\|u\|^2_{H^1}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2+u^2dx \qquad \|u\|^2_a=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2+(a-\omega^2)u^2dx$$
$$\|u\|^p_{L^p}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u|^pdx$$

and we denote by H_ε the Hilbert space $H^1_g(M)$ endowed with the $\|\cdot\|_\varepsilon$ norm.

Definition 3. Let X a topological space and consider a closed subset $A\subset X$. We say that A has category k relative to X ($\operatorname{cat}_M A=k$) if A is covered by k closed sets A_j, $j=1,\dots,k$, which are contractible in X, and k is the minimum integer with this property. We simply denote $\operatorname{cat}X=\operatorname{cat}_X X$.

Remark 4. Let X_1 and X_2 be topological spaces. If $g_1:X_1\to X_2$ and $g_2:X_2\to X_1$ are continuous operators such that $g_2\circ g_1$ is homotopic to the identity on X_1, then $\operatorname{cat}X_1\le\operatorname{cat}X_2$.

We recall the following classical result

Theorem 5. *Let J be a $C^{1,1}$ real functional on a complete $C^{1,1}$ manifold $\mathcal{N}$. If J is bounded from below and satisfies the Palais Smale condition then has at least* $\operatorname{cat}(J^d)$ *critical point in J^d where $J^d = \{u \in \mathcal{N} \ : \ J(u) < d\}$. Moreover if $\mathcal{N}$ is contractible and* $\operatorname{cat} J^d > 1$*, there exists at least one critical point $u \notin J^d$*

3. Key estimates

In order to overcome the problems given by the competition between u and v, using an idea of Benci and Fortunato [11], we introduce the map $\psi : H^1_g(M) \to H^1_g(M)$ defined by the equation

$$-\Delta_g \psi(u) + (1 + q^2u^2)\psi(u) = qu^2 \text{ in case of KGM systems} \tag{4}$$

$$-\Delta_g \psi(u) + \psi(u) = qu^2 \text{ in case of SM systems} \tag{5}$$

The map ψ is of class C^2. Its first derivative

$$h \to \psi'(u)[h] = V_u(h)$$

is the map defined by the equation

$$-\Delta_g V_u(h) + (1 + q^2u^2)V_u(h) = 2qu(1 - q\psi(u))h \text{ in case of KGM systems} \tag{6}$$

$$-\Delta_g V_u(h) + V_u(h) = 2quh \text{ in case of SM systems} \tag{7}$$

its second derivative $(h,k) \to \psi''(u)[h,k] = T_u(h,k)$ is the map defined by the equation

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_g T_u(h,k) + (1 + q^2u^2)T_u(h,k) = -2q^2u(kV_u(h) + hV_u(k)) + 2q(1 - q\psi(u))hk \\ \text{in case of KGM systems} \end{aligned}$$

$$-\Delta_g T_u(h,k) + T_u(h,k) = 2qhk \text{ in case of SM systems}$$

Moreover in case of KGM systems by the maximum principle we have that $0 < \psi(u) \leq 1/q$, while in case of SM systems we have $\psi(u) > 0$.

Remark 6. We have that $\|V_u(h)\|_{H^1_g} \leq c|h|_{3,g}|u|_{3,g}$. For SM systems is straightforward. In the case of KGM systems we have, by (6)

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_u(h)\|^2_{H^1_g} &\leq \|V_u(h)\|^2_{H^1_g} + \int_M q^2u^2V_u^2(h)d\mu_g \leq \\ &\leq \int_M 2qu(1 - q\psi(u))hV_u(h)d\mu_g \leq c\|V_u(h)\|_{H^1_g}|h|_{3,g}|u|_{3,g}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for KGM systems, it holds $0 \leq V_u(u) \leq 2/q$ for any u. (see [22])

Lemma 7. *The map $\Theta : H^1_g(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by*

$$\Theta(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_M (1 - q\psi(u))u^2 d\mu_g$$

is C^1 and for any $u, h \in H^1_g(M)$

$$\Theta'(u)[h] = \int_M (1 - q\psi(u))^2 uh d\mu_g$$

For the proof of this result we refer to [22]

Lemma 8. *Let $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1_g(M)$. Then, up to subsequence, $\psi(u_n) \rightharpoonup \psi(u)$ in $H^1_g(M)$.*

Proof. We set $\psi_n := \psi(u_n)$ it holds

$$\|\psi_n\|^2_{H^1_g} \leq \|\psi_n\|^2_{H^1_g} + \int_M q^2 u_n^2 \psi_n^2 d\mu_g = q \int_M u_n^2 \psi_n d\mu_g \leq c|u_n|^2_{4,g} \|\psi_n\|_{H^1_g}$$

then $\|\psi_n\|_{H^1_g} \leq c|u_n|^2_{4,g}$, thus $\|\psi_n\|_{H^1_g}$ is bounded and, up to subsequence, $\psi_n \rightharpoonup \bar{\psi}$ in $H^1_g(M)$. We recall that ψ_n solves (4), thus passing to the limit we have that $\bar{\psi}$ is a solution of the equation

$$-\Delta_g \bar{\psi} + (1 + q^2 u^2)\bar{\psi} = qu^2.$$

By the uniqueness of the solution of (4) we have $\bar{\psi} = \psi(u)$.

The claim can be proved for SM systems in a similar way. □

Remark 9. Let $W_{\varepsilon,\xi}$ defined in (3). The following limits hold uniformly with respect to $\xi \in M$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_{\varepsilon,\xi}\|^2_\varepsilon &\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla U|^2 + (a - \omega^2)U^2 dx \\ |W_{\varepsilon,\xi}|_{\varepsilon,t} &\rightarrow \|U\|_{L^t(\mathbb{R}^3)} \text{ for all } 2 \leq t \leq 6 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by definition of the function U,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla U|^2 + (a - \omega^2)U^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |U|^p dx$$

4. Setting of the problem

Hereafter we limit to consider the KGM system. The case of SM system is straightforward, the unique difference is in the proof that the Nehari set is a regular manifold. There we have to exclude the case $p = 4$.

We consider the following functional $I_\varepsilon \in C^2(H^1_g(M), \mathbb{R})$.

$$I_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2_\varepsilon + \frac{\omega^2}{2} G_\varepsilon(u) - \frac{1}{p}|u^+|^p_{\varepsilon,p} \tag{8}$$

where

$$G_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} q \int_M u^2 \psi(u) d\mu_g$$

and, by Lemma 7,

$$G'_\varepsilon(u)[\varphi] = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} \int_M \left(2q\psi^2(u) - q^2\psi(u)\right) u\varphi d\mu_g \tag{9}$$

The function I_ε is of class C^2 because the map $\psi(u)$ is of class C^2. By (9) we have that

$$I'_\varepsilon(u)\varphi = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} \int_M \varepsilon^2 \nabla_g u \nabla_g \varphi + au\varphi - (u^+)^{p-1}\varphi - \omega^2(1 - q\psi(u))^2 u\varphi d\mu_g$$

thus a critical points u_ε of the functional I_ε is positive and it is such that the pair $(u_\varepsilon, \psi(u_\varepsilon))$ is a solution of (1).

5. NEHARI MANIFOLD

We define the following Nehari set

$$\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon = \left\{ u \in H^1_g(M) \smallsetminus 0 \ : \ N_\varepsilon(u) := I'_\varepsilon(u)[u] = 0 \right\}$$

Lemma 10. *$\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ is a C^2 manifold and* $\inf_{\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} \|u\|_\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. If $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = N_\varepsilon(u) &= \|u\|^2_\varepsilon - |u^+|^p_{\varepsilon,p} + \frac{q\omega^2}{\varepsilon^3} \int_M (2 - q\psi(u))\, \psi(u) u^2 d\mu_g \\ &= \|u\|^2_\varepsilon - |u^+|^p_{\varepsilon,p} + \frac{q\omega^2}{2\varepsilon^3} \int_M (2\psi(u) - \psi'(u)[u])\, u^2 d\mu_g \end{aligned}$$

The functional N_ε is of class C^2 because ψ is of class C^2. In particular we have $N'_\varepsilon(u)[u] < 0$ for $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ and $4 \le p < 6$. In fact we have, by (9),

$$\begin{aligned} N'_\varepsilon(u)[u] &= 2\|u\|^2_\varepsilon - p|u^+|^p_{\varepsilon,p} + \frac{q\omega^2}{\varepsilon^3} \int_M (2 - q\psi(u))\, \psi'(u)[u] u^2 d\mu_g \\ &\quad + \frac{2q\omega^2}{\varepsilon^3} \int_M (2 - q\psi(u))\, \psi(u) u^2 d\mu_g - \frac{q^2\omega^2}{\varepsilon^3} \int_M \psi'(u)[u] \psi(u) u^2 d\mu_g = \\ &= (2-p)\|u\|^2_\varepsilon + \frac{q\omega^2}{\varepsilon^3} \int_M [4 - p - 2q\psi(u)] \psi(u) u^2 d\mu_g \\ &\quad + \frac{q\omega^2}{\varepsilon^3} \int_M \left[2 - \frac{p}{2} - 2q\psi(u) \right] \psi'(u)[u] u^2 d\mu_g < 0 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

so $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ is a C^2 manifold.

We prove the second claim by contradiction. Take a sequence $\{u_n\}_n \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ with $\|u_n\|_\varepsilon \to 0$ while $n \to +\infty$. Thus, using that $N_\varepsilon(u) = 0$,

$$\|u_n\|^2_\varepsilon + \frac{q\omega^2}{\varepsilon^3} \int_M [2 - q\psi(u_n)] u_n^2 \psi(u_n) d\mu_g = |u_n^+|^p_{p,\varepsilon} \le C \|u_n\|^p_\varepsilon,$$

so, because $0 < \psi(u_n) < 1/q$,

$$1 \le 1 + \frac{q\omega^2}{\varepsilon^3 \|u_n\|^2_\varepsilon} \int_M [2 - q\psi(u_n)] u_n^2 \psi(u_n) d\mu_g \le C \|u_n\|^{p-2}_\varepsilon \to 0$$

and this is a contradiction. □

Remark 11. If $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$, then

$$\begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon(u) &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right) \|u\|^2_\varepsilon + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{p} \right) \frac{\omega^2 q}{\varepsilon^3} \int_M u^2 \psi(u) d\mu_g + \frac{\omega^2 q^2}{\varepsilon^3 p} \int_M u^2 \psi^2(u) d\mu_g \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right) |u^+|^p_{p,\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega^2 q^2}{\varepsilon^3} \int_M u^2 \psi^2(u) d\mu_g - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega^2 q}{\varepsilon^3} \int_M u^2 \psi(u) d\mu_g \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 12. *It holds Palais-Smale condition for the functional I_ε on the space H_ε.*

Proof. . Let $\{u_n\}_n \in H_\varepsilon$ such that

$$I_\varepsilon(u_n) \to c \qquad |I'_\varepsilon(u_n)[\varphi]| \le \sigma_n \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon \text{ where } \sigma_n \to 0$$

We prove that $\|u_n\|_\varepsilon$ is bounded. By contradiction, suppose $\|u_n\|_\varepsilon \to \infty$. Then, by PS hypothesis

$$\frac{pI_\varepsilon(u_n) - I'_\varepsilon(u_n)[u_n]}{\|u_n\|_\varepsilon} = \left(\frac{p}{2}-1\right)\|u_n\|_\varepsilon + \frac{q\omega^2}{\varepsilon^3}\int_M \left[\frac{p}{2} - 2 + q\psi(u_n)\right]\frac{u_n^2\psi(u_n)}{\|u_n\|_\varepsilon} d\mu_g \to 0$$

Since $p \geq 4$ and $\psi(u_n) > 0$ this leads to a contradiction.

At this point, up to subsequence $u_n \to u$ weakly in H_ε and strongly in $L^t_g(M)$ for each $2 \leq t < 6$, then by Lemma 8 we have, up to subsequence, $\psi(u_n) := \psi_n \rightharpoonup \bar\psi = \psi(u)$.

We have that

$$u_n - i^*_\varepsilon[(u_n^+)^{p-1}] + \omega^2 q i^*_\varepsilon\left[\left(q\psi_n^2 - 2\psi_n\right)u_n\right] \to 0$$

where the operator $i^*_\varepsilon : L^{p'}_g, |\cdot|_{\varepsilon,p'} \to H_\varepsilon$ is the adjoint operator of the immersion operator $i_\varepsilon : H_\varepsilon \to L^p_g, |\cdot|_{\varepsilon,p}$. Since $i^*_\varepsilon[(u_n^+)^{p-1}]$ converges to $i^*_\varepsilon[(u^+)^{p-1}]$ it is sufficient to show that the sequence $i^*_\varepsilon\left[\left(q\psi_n^2 - 2\psi_n\right)u_n\right] \to i^*_\varepsilon\left[\left(q\bar\psi^2 - 2\bar\psi\right)u\right]$ in H^1_g to obtain that $u_n \to u$ in H^1_g. We will show that $\left(q\psi_n^2 - 2\psi_n\right)u_n \to \left(q\bar\psi^2 - 2\bar\psi\right)u$ in $L^{p'}_g$. We have

$$|\psi_n u_n - \bar\psi u|_{p',g} \leq |(\psi_n - \bar\psi)u|_{p',g} + |\psi_n(u_n - u)|_{p',g}. \tag{11}$$

and

$$|\psi_n^2 u_n - \bar\psi^2 u|_{p',g} \leq |(\psi_n^2 - \bar\psi^2)u|_{p',g} + |\psi_n^2(u_n - u)|_{p',g}. \tag{12}$$

For the first term of (11) we have

$$\int_M |\psi_n - \bar\psi|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}|u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \leq \left(\int_M |\psi_n - \bar\psi|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\left(\int_M |u|^{\frac{p}{p-2}}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} \to 0,$$

and for the other terms we proceed in the same way. This concludes the proof. □

Lemma 13. *If $\{u_n\}_n \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for the functional I_ε contstrained on $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$, then $\{u_n\}_n$ is a is a Palais-Smale sequence for the free functional I_ε on H_ε*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}_n \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ such that

$$I_\varepsilon(u_n) \to c$$
$$|I'_\varepsilon(u_n)[\varphi] - \lambda_n N'(u_n)[\varphi]| \leq \sigma_n\|\varphi\|_\varepsilon \quad \text{with } \sigma_n \to 0$$

In particular $I'_\varepsilon(u_n)\left[\frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|_\varepsilon}\right] - \lambda_n N'(u_n)\left[\frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|_\varepsilon}\right] \to 0$. Then

$$\lambda_n N'(u_n)\left[\frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|_\varepsilon}\right] \to 0.$$

By (10), if $\inf|\lambda_n| \neq 0$, we have that $\|u_n\|_\varepsilon \to 0$ and this contradicts Lemma 10.

Thus $\lambda_n \to 0$. Since

$$I_\varepsilon(u_n) = \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)\|u_n\|^2_\varepsilon + \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{p}\right)\frac{\omega^2 q}{\varepsilon^3}\int_M u_n^2\psi_n d\mu_g + \frac{\omega^2 q^2}{\varepsilon^3 p}\int_M u_n^2\psi_n^2 d\mu_g \to c$$

we have that $\|u_n\|_\varepsilon$ is bounded. By the expression (10) of $N'(u_n)$ and by Remark 6 we have that $|N'(u_n)[\varphi]| \le c\|\varphi\|_\varepsilon$. Thus we obtain that $\{u_n\}_n$ is a PS sequence for the free functional I_ε, and we get the claim. $\square$

Lemma 14. *For all* $u \in H^1_g(M)$ *such that* $|u^+|_{\varepsilon,p} = 1$ *there exists a unique positive number* $t_\varepsilon = t_\varepsilon(u)$ *such that* $t_\varepsilon(u)u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$. *Moreover* $t_\varepsilon(u)$ *depends continuosly on* u, *provided that* $u^+ \not\equiv 0$. *Finally it holds*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} t_\varepsilon(W_{\varepsilon,\xi}) = 1 \textit{ uniformly with respect to } \xi \in M.$$

Proof. We define, for $t > 0$

$$H(t) = I_\varepsilon(tu) = \frac{1}{2}t^2\|u\|^2_\varepsilon + \frac{q\omega^2}{2\varepsilon^3}t^2\int_M \psi(tu)u^2 d\mu_g - \frac{t^p}{p}.$$

Thus, by (9)

$$\begin{aligned} H'(t) &= t\left(\|u\|^2_\varepsilon + \frac{q\omega^2}{2\varepsilon^3}\int_M [2 - q\psi(tu)]\psi(tu)u^2 d\mu_g - t^{p-2}\right) \qquad (13)\\ &= t\left(\|u\|^2_\varepsilon + \frac{q\omega^2}{\varepsilon^3}\int_M \psi(tu)u^2 d\mu_g + \frac{q\omega^2}{2\varepsilon^3}t\int_M \psi'(tu)[u]u^2 d\mu_g - t^{p-2}\right)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H''(t) &= \|u\|^2_\varepsilon + \frac{q\omega^2}{2\varepsilon^3}\int_M [2 - q\psi(tu)]\psi(tu)u^2 d\mu_g \qquad (14)\\ &\quad + \frac{q\omega^2}{\varepsilon^3}t\int_M [1 - q\psi(tu)]\psi'(tu)[u]u^2 d\mu_g - (p-1)t^{p-2}\end{aligned}$$

By (13) there exists $t_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $H'(t_\varepsilon) = 0$, because, for small t, $H'(t) > 0$ and, since $p \ge 4$, it holds $H'(t) < 0$ for t large. For t_ε, by (13) we have

$$t_\varepsilon^{p-2} = \|u\|^2_\varepsilon + \frac{q\omega^2}{\varepsilon^3}\int_M \psi(t_\varepsilon u)u^2 d\mu_g + \frac{q\omega^2}{2\varepsilon^3}t_\varepsilon\int_M \psi'(t_\varepsilon u)[u]u^2 d\mu_g$$

then, by Remark 6

$$\begin{aligned} H''(t_\varepsilon) &= (2-p)\|u\|^2_\varepsilon + \frac{q\omega^2}{\varepsilon^3}\int_M \left[2 - p - \frac{q}{2}\psi(t_\varepsilon u)\right]\psi(t_\varepsilon u)u^2 d\mu_g \\ &\quad + \frac{q\omega^2}{2\varepsilon^3}\int_M [3 - p - 2q\psi(t_\varepsilon u)]\psi'(t_\varepsilon u)[t_\varepsilon u]u^2 d\mu_g < 0,\end{aligned}$$

so t_ε is unique. The continuity of t_ε is standard.

We now prove the last claim. We have

$$\begin{aligned} t_\varepsilon^{p-2}|W_{\varepsilon,\xi}|^p_{\varepsilon,p} = \|W_{\varepsilon,\xi}\|^2_\varepsilon + \frac{q\omega^2}{\varepsilon^3}\int_M \psi(t_\varepsilon W_{\varepsilon,\xi})W^2_{\varepsilon,\xi} d\mu_g \qquad (15)\\ - \frac{q^2\omega^2}{2\varepsilon^3}\int_M \psi^2(t_\varepsilon W_{\varepsilon,\xi})W^2_{\varepsilon,\xi} d\mu_g\end{aligned}$$

where $t_\varepsilon = t_\varepsilon(W_{\varepsilon,\xi})$. It holds

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3 t_\varepsilon^2}\int_M \psi(t_\varepsilon W_{\varepsilon,\xi})W^2_{\varepsilon,\xi} d\mu_g = 0 \qquad (16)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3 t_\varepsilon^4}\int_M \psi^2(t_\varepsilon W_{\varepsilon,\xi})W^2_{\varepsilon,\xi} d\mu_g = 0 \qquad (17)$$

In fact, set $\psi(t_\varepsilon W_{\varepsilon,\xi}) := \psi_\varepsilon$. We have, by Remark 9

$$\begin{aligned}\|\psi_\varepsilon\|^2_{H^1_g} &\leq \int_M |\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^2 + \psi_\varepsilon^2(1+q^2t_\varepsilon^2W^2_{\varepsilon,\xi})d\mu_g = t_\varepsilon^2 q\int_M W^2_{\varepsilon,\xi}\psi_\varepsilon d\mu_g \leq \\ &\leq ct_\varepsilon^2|\psi_\varepsilon|_{6,g}\left(\int_M W^{12/5}_{\varepsilon,\xi}d\mu_g\right)^{5/6} \leq ct_\varepsilon^2\|\psi_\varepsilon\|_{H^1_g}\varepsilon^{5/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}\int_M \psi_\varepsilon W^2_{\varepsilon,\xi}d\mu_g \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}\|\psi_\varepsilon\|_{H^1_g}\left(\int_M W^{12/5}_{\varepsilon,\xi}d\mu_g\right)^{5/6} \leq ct_\varepsilon^2\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}\varepsilon^5,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}\int_M \psi_\varepsilon^2 W^2_{\varepsilon,\xi}d\mu_g \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}\left(\int \psi_\varepsilon^6 d\mu_g\right)^{1/3}\left(\int_M W^3_{\varepsilon,\xi}d\mu_g\right)^{2/3} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}\|\psi_\varepsilon\|^2_{H^1_g}\varepsilon^2 \leq t_\varepsilon^4\varepsilon^4$$

so we proved (16) and (17).

For any sequence $\varepsilon_n \to 0$, by (16) and (17) and by Remark 9 we have that t_{ε_n} is bounded. Then, up to subsequences $t_{\varepsilon_n} \to \bar{t}$. By (15) we have $\bar{t}^{p-2}|U|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla U|^2 + (a-\omega^2)U^2dx$ and by Remark 9 we have $\bar{t} = 1$. □

6. Main ingredient of the proof

We sketch the proof of Theorem 1. First of all, since the functional $I_\varepsilon \in C^2$ is bounded below and satisfies PS condition on the manifold $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$, we have, by well known results, that I_ε has at least $\operatorname{cat} I^d_\varepsilon$ critical points in the sublevel

$$I^d_\varepsilon = \{u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \ : \ I_\varepsilon(u) \leq d\}.$$

We prove that, for ε and δ small enough, it holds

$$\operatorname{cat} M \leq \operatorname{cat}\left(\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \cap I_\varepsilon^{m_\infty+\delta}\right)$$

where

$$m_\infty := \inf_{\mathcal{N}_\infty}\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla v|^2 + (a-\omega^2)v^2dx - \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|v|^pdx$$

$$\mathcal{N}_\infty = \left\{v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\smallsetminus\{0\} \ : \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla v|^2 + (a-\omega^2)v^2dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|v|^pdx\right\}.$$

To get the inequality $\operatorname{cat} M \leq \operatorname{cat}\left(\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \cap I_\varepsilon^{m_\infty+\delta}\right)$ we build two continuous operators

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_\varepsilon &: M \to \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \cap I_\varepsilon^{m_\infty+\delta} \\ \beta &: \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \cap I_\varepsilon^{m_\infty+\delta} \to M_r\end{aligned}$$

where $M_r = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \ : \ d(x,M) < r\right\}$ with r small enough in order to have $\operatorname{cat} M = \operatorname{cat} M_r$. Also, we will choose r smaller than the injectivity radius of M.

We build these operators Φ_ε and β such that $\beta\circ\Phi_\varepsilon : M \to M_r$ is homotopic to the immersion $i : M \to M_r$. By the properties of Lusternik Schinerlmann category we have

$$\operatorname{cat} M \leq \operatorname{cat}\left(\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \cap I_\varepsilon^{m_\infty+\delta}\right)$$

which gives us the estimates on the number of solutions contained in Theorem 1. With respect to the profile description of any low energy solution u_ε, first, we prove that u_ε has a unique local maximum point P_ε (see Lemma 23) then we show that $u_\varepsilon = W_{P_\varepsilon,\varepsilon} + \Psi_\varepsilon$ where $\|\Psi_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(M)} \to 0$ for $\varepsilon \to 0$ (see Lemma 24).

7. The function Φ_ε

We define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\varepsilon &: M \to \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \\ \Phi_\varepsilon(\xi) &= t_\varepsilon(W_{\xi,\varepsilon})W_{\xi,\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 15. *For all $\varepsilon > 0$ the map Φ_ε is continuous. Moreover for any $\delta > 0$ there exists $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\delta)$ such that, if $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ then $I_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(\xi)) < m_\infty + \delta$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that Φ_ε is continuous because $t_\varepsilon(w)$ depends continously on $w \in H^1_g$.

Now, we have

$$I_\varepsilon\left(t_\varepsilon(W_{\varepsilon,\xi})W_{\varepsilon,\xi}\right) = \frac{1}{2}t_\varepsilon^2\|W_{\varepsilon,\xi}\|_\varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{p}t_\varepsilon^p|W_{\varepsilon,\xi}|_{\varepsilon,p}^p + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}qt_\varepsilon^2\int_M \psi(t_\varepsilon W_{\varepsilon,\xi})W_{\varepsilon,\xi}^2 d\mu_g$$

By Remark 9 and Lemma 14 and by (16) we have

$$I_\varepsilon\left(t_\varepsilon(W_{\varepsilon,\xi})W_{\varepsilon,\xi}\right) \to m_\infty$$

uniformly with respect to ξ. This concludes the proof. □

Remark 16. We set

$$m_\varepsilon = \inf_{\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} I_\varepsilon.$$

By Proposition 15 we have that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} m_\varepsilon \le m_\infty.$$

8. The map β

For any $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ we can define a point $\beta(u) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ by

$$\beta(u) = \frac{\int_M x|u^+|^p dx}{\int_M |u^+|^p dx}.$$

The function β is well defined in $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ because, if $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$, then $u^+ \neq 0$.

We have to prove that, if $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \cap I_\varepsilon^{m_\infty+\delta}$ then $\beta(u) \in M_R$.

Let us consider partitions of the compact manifold M. For a given $\varepsilon > 0$ we say that a finite partition $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon = \left\{P_j^\varepsilon\right\}_{j\in\Lambda_\varepsilon}$ of the manifold M is a "good" partition if: for any $j \in \Lambda_\varepsilon$ the set P_j^ε is closed; $P_i^\varepsilon \cap P_j^\varepsilon \subset \partial P_i^\varepsilon \cap \partial P_j^\varepsilon$ for any $i \neq j$; there exist $r_1(\varepsilon), r_2(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that there are points $q_j^\varepsilon \in P_j^\varepsilon$ for which $B_g(q_j^\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \subset P_j^\varepsilon \subset B_g(q_j^\varepsilon, r_2(\varepsilon)) \subset B_g(q_j^\varepsilon, r_1(\varepsilon))$, with $r_1(\varepsilon) \ge r_2(\varepsilon) \ge C\varepsilon$ for some positive constant C; lastly, there exists a finite number $\nu(M) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every $\xi \in M$ is contained in at most $\nu(M)$ balls $B_g(q_j^\varepsilon, r_1(\varepsilon))$, where $\nu(M)$ does not depends on ε.

Lemma 17. *There exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that, for any $\delta > 0$ and for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0(\delta)$ as in Proposition 15, given any "good" partition $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon = \left\{P_j^\varepsilon\right\}_j$ of the manifold M and for any function $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \cap I_\varepsilon^{m_\infty+\delta}$ there exists, for an index $\bar{j}$ a set $P_{\bar{j}}^\varepsilon$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}\int_{P_{\bar{j}}^\varepsilon} |u^+|^p dx \ge \gamma.$$

Proof. Taking in account that $N_\varepsilon(u) = I'(u)[u] = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_\varepsilon^2 &= |u^+|_{\varepsilon,p}^p - \frac{q\omega^2}{\varepsilon^3}\int_M (2 - q\psi(u))\,\psi(u)u^2 d\mu_g \le |u^+|_{\varepsilon,p}^p \\ &= \sum_j \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}\int_{P_j} |u^+|^p d\mu_g = \sum_j |u_j^+|_{\varepsilon,p}^p \\ &= \sum_j |u_j^+|_{\varepsilon,p}^{p-2}|u_j^+|_{\varepsilon,p}^2 \le \max_j \left\{|u_j^+|_{\varepsilon,p}^{p-2}\right\} \sum_j |u_j^+|_{\varepsilon,p}^2\end{aligned}$$

where u_j^+ is the restriction of the function u^+on the set P_j.

At this point, arguing as in Lemma 5.3 of [5], we prove that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\sum_j |u_j^+|_{\varepsilon,p}^2 \le C\nu(M)\|u^+\|_\varepsilon^2,$$

thus

$$\max_j \left\{|u_j^+|_{\varepsilon,p}^{p-2}\right\} \ge \frac{1}{C\nu(M)}$$

that conludes the proof. □

Proposition 18. *For any $\eta \in (0,1)$ there exists $\delta_0 < m_\infty$ such that for any $\delta \in (0,\delta_0)$ and any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0(\delta))$ as in Proposition 15, for any function $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \cap I_\varepsilon^{m_\infty+\delta}$ we can find a point $q = q(u) \in M$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}\int_{B(q,r/2)} (u^+)^p > (1-\eta)\,\frac{2p}{p-2} m_\infty.$$

Proof. We first prove the proposition for $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \cap I_\varepsilon^{m_\varepsilon+2\delta}$.

By contradiction, we assume that there exists $\eta \in (0,1)$ such that we can find two sequences of vanishing real number δ_k and ε_k and a sequence of functions $\{u_k\}_k$ such that $u_k \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_k}$,

$$\begin{aligned}(18)\quad m_{\varepsilon_k} \le I_{\varepsilon_k}(u_k) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)\|u_k\|_{\varepsilon_k}^2 + \\ + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{p}\right)\frac{\omega^2 q}{\varepsilon_k^3}\int_M u_k^2\psi(u_k)d\mu_g + \frac{\omega^2 q^2}{\varepsilon_k^3 p}\int_M u_k^2\psi^2(u_k)d\mu_g \\ \le m_{\varepsilon_k} + 2\delta_k \le m_\infty + 3\delta_k\end{aligned}$$

for k large enough (see Remark 16), and, for any $q \in M$,

$$(19)\qquad \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k^3}\int_{B_g(q,r/2)} (u_k^+)^p d\mu_g \le (1-\eta)\,\frac{2p}{p-2} m_\infty.$$

By Ekeland principle and by Lemma 13 we can assume

$$(20)\qquad \left|I'_{\varepsilon_k}(u_k)[\varphi]\right| \le \sigma_k\|\varphi\|_{\varepsilon_k} \text{ where } \sigma_k \to 0.$$

By Lemma 17 there exists a set $P_k^{\varepsilon_k} \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon_k}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_k^3}\int_{P_k^{\varepsilon_k}} |u_k^+|^p d\mu_g \ge \gamma.$$

and we choose a point $q_k \in P_k^{\varepsilon_k}$. We define

$$u_k(x)\chi_r\left(\left|\exp_{q_k}^{-1}(x)\right|\right) = u_k(\exp_{q_k}(y))\chi_r(|y|) = u_k(\exp_{q_k}(\varepsilon_k z))\chi_r(\varepsilon_k|z|) := w_k(z)$$

where $x \in B_g(q_k, r)$ and $z \in B(0, r/\varepsilon_k) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

We have that $w_k \in H_0^1(B(0, r/\varepsilon_k)) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. By equation (18) we have

$$\|w_k\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C\|u_k\|^2_{\varepsilon_k} \leq C.$$

So there exists a $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that, up to subsequences, $w_k \to w$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and strongy in $L^t_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

We claim that $w \geq 0$ is a weak solution of

$$-\Delta w + (a - \omega^2)w = w^{p-1} \tag{21}$$

We notice that for every $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, there exists k such that $\text{supp} f \subset B(0, r/2\varepsilon_k)$. Thus, considered

$$f_k(x) := f\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_k}\exp^{-1}_{q_k}(x)\right) = f(z) \text{ where } x = \exp_{q_k}(\varepsilon_k z),$$

we have that $\text{supp} f_k \subset B_g(q_k, r/2)$.

Moreover, we have $\|f_k\|_{\varepsilon_k} \leq C\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}$, thus, by Ekeland principle we have

$$|I'_{\varepsilon_k}(u_k)[f_k]| \leq \sigma_k\|f_k\|_{\varepsilon_k} \to 0 \text{ while } k \to \infty. \tag{22}$$

On the other hand we have

(23)

$$\begin{aligned}
I'_\varepsilon(u_k)[f_k] &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k^3}\int_M \varepsilon_k^2 \nabla_g u_k \nabla_g f_k + a u_k f_k - (u_k^+)^{p-1} f_k - \omega^2(1 - q\psi(u_k))^2 u_k f_k d\mu_g \\
&= \langle u_k, f_k\rangle_{\varepsilon_k} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k^3}\int_M (u_k^+)^{p-1} f_k d\mu_g + \frac{q\omega^2}{\varepsilon_k^3}\int_M (2 - q\psi(u_k))\,\psi(u_k) u_k f_k d\mu_g \\
&= \int_{T_k}\left[\sum_{ij} g^{ij}_{q_k}(\varepsilon_k z)\partial_{z_i} w_k(z)\partial_{z_j} f(z) + (a - \omega^2) w_k(z) f(z)\right]|g_{q_k}(\varepsilon z)|^{1/2} dz \\
&\qquad - \int_{T_k} (w_k^+(z))^{p-1} f(z)|g_{q_k}(\varepsilon z)|^{1/2} dz \\
&\qquad + q\omega^2 \int_{T_k}\left(2 - q\tilde{\psi}_k(z)\right)\tilde{\psi}_k(z) w_k(z) f(z)|g_{q_k}(\varepsilon z)|^{1/2} dz
\end{aligned}$$

Here $T_k = B(0, r/2\varepsilon_k) \cap \text{supp} f$ and $\psi(u_k)(x) := \psi_k(x) = \psi_k(\exp_{q_k}(\varepsilon_k z)) := \tilde{\psi}_k(z)$ where $x \in B_g(q_k, r)$ and $z \in B(0, r/\varepsilon_k)$. Since $\text{supp} f_k \subset B_g(q_k, r/2)$, by definition of χ_r and by (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_M \nabla_g \psi(u_k)\nabla_g f_k + (1 + q^2 u_k)\psi(u_k) f_k - q u_k^2 f_k d\mu_g \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon_k^3}{\varepsilon_k^2}\int_{T_k}\sum_{ij} g^{ij}_{q_k}(\varepsilon_k z)\partial_{z_i}\tilde{\psi}_k(z)\partial_{z_j} f(z)|g_{q_k}(\varepsilon z)|^{1/2} dz \\
&\quad + \varepsilon_k^3\int_{T_k}(1 + q^2 w_k(z))\tilde{\psi}_k(z) f(z)|g_{q_k}(\varepsilon z)|^{1/2} dz \\
&\quad - \varepsilon_k^3\int_{T_k} q w_k^2(z) f(z)|g_{q_k}(\varepsilon z)|^{1/2} dz,
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\int_{T_k}\sum_{ij} g_{q_k}^{ij}(\varepsilon_k z)\partial_{z_i}\tilde{\psi}_k(z)\partial_{z_j}f(z)|g_{q_k}(\varepsilon z)|^{1/2}dz = \tag{24}$$
$$= \varepsilon_k^2\int_{T_k}\Big((1+q^2w_k(z))\tilde{\psi}_k(z)+qw_k^2(z)\Big)f(z)|g_{q_k}(\varepsilon z)|^{1/2}dz$$

Arguing as in Lemma 8 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} c\int_{B(0,r/\varepsilon_k)}|\nabla\tilde{\psi}_k(z)|^2dz &\le \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k}\int_M|\nabla_g\psi_k|^2d\mu_g \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k}q\int_M u_k^2\psi_k \\ &\le \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k^3}\int u_k^2 \le \|u_k\|_{\varepsilon_k}^2 \le C\end{aligned}$$

where $c, C > 0$ are suitable constants. Moreover, by Lemma 8

$$\begin{aligned} c_1\int_{B(0,r/\varepsilon_k)}|\tilde{\psi}_k(z)|^2dz &\le \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k^3}\int_M\psi_k^2d\mu_g \le \|\psi_k\|_{H_g^1}^2 \le c_2\frac{1}{\varepsilon_k^3}|u_k|_{4,g}^4 \\ &\le c_2|u_k|_{4,\varepsilon}^4 \le C\end{aligned}$$

where $c_1, c_2, C > 0$ are suitable constants. Conlcuding, we have that $\|\tilde{\psi}_k\|_{H^1(B(0,r/\varepsilon_k))}$ is bounded, and then also $\|\chi_{r/\varepsilon_k}(z)\tilde{\psi}_k(z)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2$ is bounded. So, there exists a $\bar{\psi} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\bar{\psi}_k(z) := \chi_{r/\varepsilon_k}(z)\tilde{\psi}_k(z) \to \bar{\psi}$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and strongly in $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $2 \le p < 6$.

By (24) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sum_{ij} g_{q_k}^{ij}(\varepsilon_k z)\partial_{z_i}\bar{\psi}_k(z)\partial_{z_j}f(z)|g_{q_k}(\varepsilon z)|^{1/2}dz =$$
$$= \varepsilon_k^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\big((1+q^2w_k(z))\bar{\psi}_k(z)+qw_k^2(z)\big)f(z)|g_{q_k}(\varepsilon z)|^{1/2}dz$$

and, using that $g_k^{ij}(\varepsilon z) = \delta_{ij} + O(\varepsilon_k^2|z|)$ and that $|g_q(\varepsilon z)|^{1/2} = 1 + O(\varepsilon_k^2|z|)$ we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla\bar{\psi}_k(z)\nabla f(z)dz = \varepsilon_k^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\big((1+q^2w_k(z))\bar{\psi}_k(z)+qw_k^2(z)\big)f(z)dz + O(\varepsilon_k^2).$$

Thus, the function $\bar{\psi} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a weak solution of $-\Delta\bar{\psi} = 0$, so $\bar{\psi} = 0$.

At this point, arguing as above we have

(25)
$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_k^3}\int_M(2-q\psi(u_k))\,\psi(u_k)u_kf_kd\mu_g = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k^3}\int_{B_g(q_k,r/2)}(2-q\psi(u_k))\,\psi(u_k)u_kf_kd\mu_g =$$
$$= \int_{\text{supp}f}\big(2-q\bar{\psi}_k\big)\,\bar{\psi}_kw_kf|g_{q_k}(\varepsilon z)|^{1/2}dz \to 0$$

while $k \to \infty$ because $\bar{\psi}_k \to 0$ strongly in $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $2 \le p < 6$. Thus, by (25), (22) and (23) and because $w_k \rightharpoonup w$ in H^1 we deduce that, for any $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, it holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla w\nabla f + (a-\omega^2)wf - (w^+)^{p-1}f = 0.$$

Thus, w is a weak solution of $-\Delta w + (a-\omega^2)w = w^{p-1}$. Moreover, by (18) we have

$$\|w\|_a^2 \leq \liminf_k \|w_k\|_a^2 \leq \frac{2p}{p-2} m_\infty \tag{26}$$

Set

$$\mathcal{N}_\infty = \left\{ v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \smallsetminus \{0\} \ : \ \|w\|_a^2 = |w|_p^p \right\},$$

we have that $w \in \mathcal{N}_\infty \cup \{0\}$. We want to prove now that $w \not\equiv 0$. In fact, by the definition of the partition $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$ we can choose a $T>0$ such that $P_k^\varepsilon \subset B_g(q_k, \varepsilon_k T)$. Now, by Lemma 17 we have, for k large

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(0,T)} \left(w_k^+\right)^p dz &= \int_{B(0,T)} \left(u_k^+(\exp_{q_k}(\varepsilon_k z))\chi_r(\varepsilon_k|z|)\right)^p dz \\
&\geq \frac{C}{\varepsilon_k^3} \int_{B(0,\varepsilon_k T)} \left(u_k^+(\exp_{q_k}(y))\right)^p |g_{q_k}(y)|^{1/2} dy \\
&\geq C\frac{1}{\varepsilon_k^3} \int_{P_k^{\varepsilon_k}} |u_k^+|^p d\mu_g \geq \gamma. \qquad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $w \in \mathcal{N}_\infty$ and, we have, in light of (26) that $\|w\|_a^2 = |w|_p^p = \frac{2p}{p-2} m_\infty$ and that that $w_k \to w$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Arguing as in (27), and remembering that $|g_q(\varepsilon_k z)|^{1/2} = 1 + O(\varepsilon_k^2|z|)$, fixed T, by (19) we get, for k large

$$\int_{B(0,T)} \left(w_k^+\right)^p dz \leq \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{2}\right) \frac{2p}{p-2} m_\infty .$$

Moreover, there exists a $T>0$ such that $\int_{B(0,T)} w^p dz > \left(1-\frac{\eta}{8}\right)\frac{2p}{p-2} m_\infty$ and, for $w_k \to w$ strongly in $L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\int_{B(0,T)} \left(w_k^+\right)^p dz > \left(1-\frac{\eta}{4}\right)\frac{2p}{p-2} m_\infty$. This gives us the contradiction. At this point we have proved the claim for $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \cap I_\varepsilon^{m_\varepsilon + 2\delta}$. By the thesis for $2u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \cap I_\varepsilon^{m_\varepsilon+\delta}$ we can prove the claim in the general case. Indeed, for u_k it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\varepsilon_k}(u_k) &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) |u_k^+|_{p,\varepsilon_k}^p + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\omega^2 q^2}{\varepsilon_k^3} \int_M u_k^2 \psi_k^2(u_k) d\mu_g - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\omega^2 q}{\varepsilon_k^3} \int_M u_k^2 \psi(u_k) d\mu_g \\
&\geq (1-\eta) m_\infty - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\omega^2 q}{\varepsilon_k^3} \int_M u_k^2 \psi(u_k) d\mu_g
\end{aligned}$$

By compactness of M there exists $q_1, \dots, q_l$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_k^3} \int_M u_k^2 \psi(u_k) d\mu_g \leq \sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k^3} \int_{B_g(q_i, r)} u_k^2 \psi(u_k) d\mu_g$$

For any q_i, arguing as above, we can introduce two sequences of functions w_k^i and $\bar{\psi}_k$ such that $w_k^i \to w^i$, strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, w^i solution of (21), and that $\bar{\psi}_k^i \to 0$ strongly in $L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $2 \leq p < 6$. We thus have that, for any q^i

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_k^3} \int_{B_g(q^i, r)} u_k^2 \psi(u_k) d\mu_g \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(w_k^i\right)^2 \bar{\psi}_k^i dx \to 0.$$

At this point we have that $\limsup_k m_{\varepsilon_k} \geq m_\infty$, and, in light of Remark 16, that $\lim_k m_{\varepsilon_k} = m_\infty$. Hence, when ε, δ are small enough, $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \cap I_\varepsilon^{m_\infty+\delta} \subset \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \cap I_\varepsilon^{m_\varepsilon+2\delta}$ and the general claim follows. □

Proposition 19. *There exists $\delta_0 \in (0, m_\infty)$ such that for any $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ and any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon(\delta_0))$ (see Proposition 15), for every function $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \cap I_\varepsilon^{m_\infty+\delta}$ it holds $\beta(u) \in M_r$. Moreover the composition*

$$\beta \circ \Phi_\varepsilon : M \to M_r$$

is s homotopic to the immersion $i : M \to M_r$

Proof. By Proposition 18, for any function $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \cap I_\varepsilon^{m_\infty+\delta}$, for any $\eta \in (0,1)$ and for ε, δ small enough, we can find a point $q = q(u) \in M$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} \int_{B(q,r/2)} (u^+)^p > (1-\eta)\, \frac{2p}{p-2} m_\infty.$$

Moreover, since $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \cap I_\varepsilon^{m_\infty+\delta}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_\infty + \delta \geq I_\varepsilon(u) &= \left(\frac{p-2}{2p}\right) |u^+|_{p,\varepsilon}^p + \frac{\omega^2 q^2}{2\varepsilon^3} \int_M u^2 \psi^2(u) d\mu_g - \frac{\omega^2 q}{2\varepsilon^3} \int_M u^2 \psi(u) d\mu_g \geq \\ &\geq \left(\frac{p-2}{2p}\right) |u^+|_{p,\varepsilon}^p - \frac{\omega^2 q}{2\varepsilon^3} \int_M u^2 \psi(u) d\mu_g \end{aligned}$$

Now, arguing as in Lemma 8 we have that $\|\psi(u)\|_{H^1(M)} \leq \left(\int_M u^{12/5}\right)^{5/6}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} \int_M \psi(u) u^2 &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} \|\psi\|_{H^1(M)} \left(\int_M u^{12/5}\right)^{5/6} \leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} \left(\int_M u^{12/5}\right)^{5/3} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^2 |u|_{12/5,\varepsilon}^4 \leq C\varepsilon^2 \|u\|_\varepsilon^4 \leq C\varepsilon^2 \end{aligned}$$

because $\|u\|_\varepsilon$ is bounded since $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \cap I_\varepsilon^{m_\infty+\delta}$.

Hence, provided we choose $\varepsilon(\delta_0)$ small enough, we have

$$\left(\frac{p-2}{2p}\right) |u^+|_{p,\varepsilon}^p \leq m_\infty + 2\delta_0.$$

So,

$$\frac{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} \int_{B(q,r/2)} (u^+)^p}{|u^+|_{p,\varepsilon}^p} > \frac{1-\eta}{1 + 2\delta_0/m_\infty}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta(u) - q| &\leq \frac{\left|\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} \int_M (x-q)(u^+)^p\right|}{|u^+|_{p,\varepsilon}^p} \\ &\leq \frac{\left|\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} \int_{B(q,r/2)} (x-q)(u^+)^p\right|}{|u^+|_{p,\varepsilon}^p} + \frac{\left|\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} \int_{M \smallsetminus B(q,r/2)} (x-q)(u^+)^p\right|}{|u^+|_{p,\varepsilon}^p} \\ &\leq \frac{r}{2} + 2\mathrm{diam}(M) \left(1 - \frac{1-\eta}{1 + 2\delta_0/m_\infty}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{diam}(M)$ is the diameter of the manifold as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Thus, choosing η, δ_0 and $\varepsilon(\delta_0)$ small enough we proved the first claim. The second claim is standard. □

9. PROFILE DESCRIPTION

Let u_ε a low energy solution. By standard regularity theory we can prove that $u_\varepsilon \in C^2(M)$. So there exists at least one maximum point of u_ε on M. We can prove that, for ε small, u_ε has a unique local maximum point P_ε and we can describe the profile of u_ε.

Lemma 20. *Let $(u_\varepsilon, \psi(u_\varepsilon))$ be solution of (1) such that $I_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \leq m_\infty + \delta < 2m_\infty$. Then, for ε small, u_ε is not constant on M.*

Proof. At first we notice that if u_ε is constant, also $\psi(u_\varepsilon)$ is constant. Moreover, by (1) the values of u_ε and $\psi(u_\varepsilon)$ depend only on a, ω, q and p. Let $u_\varepsilon = u_0$ and $\psi(u_\varepsilon) = \psi_0$. Immediatly we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}\int_M (a-\omega^2)u_0^2 d\mu_g \\ + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{p}\right)\frac{\omega^2 q}{\varepsilon^3}\int_M u_0^2\psi_0 d\mu_g + \frac{\omega^2 q^2}{\varepsilon^3 p}\int_M u_0^2\psi_0^2 d\mu_g \to +\infty \end{aligned}$$

which leads us to a contradiction. □

Lemma 21. *Let $x_0 \in M$ be a maximum point for u_ε solution of (1). Then*

$$(u_\varepsilon(x_0))^{p-2} > a - \omega^2 \tag{28}$$

Proof. Since x_0 is a maximum point, $\Delta_g u_\varepsilon(x_0) \leq 0$. Thus

$$0 \geq \varepsilon^2 \Delta_g u_\varepsilon(x_0) = u_\varepsilon(x_0)\left[a - (u_\varepsilon(x_0))^{p-2} - \omega^2\left(q\psi(u_\varepsilon)(x_0) - 1\right)^2\right]$$

and, recalling that $|q\psi(u_\varepsilon) - 1| < 1$,

$$a \leq (u_\varepsilon(x_0))^{p-2} + \omega^2\left(q\psi(u_\varepsilon)(x_0) - 1\right)^2 \leq (u_\varepsilon(x_0))^{p-2} + \omega^2$$

that concludes the proof. □

Lemma 22. *Let u_ε be a solution of (1) such that $I_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \leq m_\infty + \delta < 2m_\infty$. Suppose that u_ε for every ε has two maximum points $P^1_\varepsilon, P^2_\varepsilon \in M$. Then, when ε is sufficiently small,*

$$d_g(P^1_\varepsilon, P^2_\varepsilon) \to 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Proof. By contradiction, let $\{\varepsilon_j\}_j$ a vanishing sequence such that $P^1_{\varepsilon_j} \to P^1 \in M$ and $P^2_{\varepsilon_j} \to P^2 \in M$ and $P^1 \neq P^2$. We define $Q^i_{\varepsilon_j} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$P^i_{\varepsilon_j} = \exp_{P^i}(Q^i_{\varepsilon_j}) \quad i = 1, 2.$$

we define

$$0 < v^1(z) := u_{\varepsilon_j}\left(\exp_{P^1}(Q^1_{\varepsilon_j} + \varepsilon_j z)\right) = u_{\varepsilon_j}\left(\exp_{P^1}(y)\right) = u_{\varepsilon_j}(x)$$

where $x \in B_g(P^1, r)$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|Q^1_{\varepsilon_j} + \varepsilon_j z| < r$, r being the injectivity radius of M. We notice that, by definition, $Q^1_{\varepsilon_j} \to 0$ as $\varepsilon_j \to 0$, so in the following we simply assume $|z| < R/\varepsilon_j$ for the sake of simplicity.

By (28) we have that $v^1(0) = u_{\varepsilon_j}(P^1_{\varepsilon_j}) \geq a - \omega^2 > 0$, moreover

$$c\|v^1_j\|^2_{H^1(B(0,r/\varepsilon_j))} \leq \|u_{\varepsilon_j}\|^2_{\varepsilon_j} \leq \frac{2p}{p-2} I_{\varepsilon_j}(u_{\varepsilon_j}) \leq 2m_\infty.$$

We define

$$\tilde{v}_j^1(z) = v_j^1(z)\chi_r(|Q^1_{\varepsilon_j} + \varepsilon_j z|) = u_{\varepsilon_j}(x)\chi_r(\exp^{-1}_{P_1}(x)) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

We have that

$$\|\tilde{v}_j^1\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le c\|v_j^1\|^2_{H^1(B(0,r/\varepsilon_j))} \le C$$

thus there exists $\tilde{v}^1 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\tilde{v}_j^1 \rightharpoonup \tilde{v}^1$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and strongly in $L^t_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $2 \le t < 6$, and, as a consequence, $v_j^1 \to \tilde{v}^1$ strongly in $L^t_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $2 \le t < 6$, in fact, fixed T, for ε_j sufficiently small $B(0,T) \subset \{|z| < r/\varepsilon_j\}$.

Given $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, for ε_j small we have that $\mathrm{supp}\varphi \subset B\left(Q^1_{\varepsilon_j}, \frac{r}{2\varepsilon_j}\right)$, thus, since u_ε is a solution of (1),

(29)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \int_{\mathrm{supp}\varphi} &\left[\sum_{il} g^{il}_{P^1}(Q^1_{\varepsilon_j} + \varepsilon_j z)\partial_{z_i}\tilde{v}_j^1(z)\partial_{z_l}\varphi(z) + (a-\omega^2)\tilde{v}_j^1(z)\varphi(z)\right] |g_{P^1}((Q^1_{\varepsilon_j}+\varepsilon_j z))|^{1/2} dz \\ &- \int_{\mathrm{supp}\varphi} (\tilde{v}_j^1(z))^{p-1}\varphi(z)|g_{P^1}((Q^1_{\varepsilon_j} + \varepsilon_j z))|^{1/2} dz \\ &+ q\omega^2 \int_{\mathrm{supp}\varphi} \left(2 - q\tilde{\psi}_j(z)\right)\tilde{\psi}_j(z)\tilde{v}_j^1(z)\varphi(z)|g_{P^1}((Q^1_{\varepsilon_j} + \varepsilon_j z))|^{1/2} dz \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi\left(u_{\varepsilon_j}\right)(x) := \psi_j(x) = \psi_j(\exp_{P^1}(Q^1_{\varepsilon_j} + \varepsilon_j z)) := \tilde{\psi}_j(z)$. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 18, we can prove that $\tilde{\psi}_j \to 0$ in $L^t_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and that $\chi_r\tilde{\psi}_j \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. By this, and by (29) we argue that, for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$0 = \int_{\mathrm{supp}\varphi} \left[\nabla\tilde{v}^1(z)\nabla\varphi(z) + (a-\omega^2)\tilde{v}^1(z)\varphi(z) - (\tilde{v}^1(z))^{p-1}\varphi(z)\right] dz,$$

that is $\tilde{v}^1$ weakly solves

$$-\Delta\tilde{v}^1 + (a-\omega^2)\tilde{v}^1 = (\tilde{v}^1)^{p-1} \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^3.$$

We can prove that $v_j^1 \to \tilde{v}^1$ in $C^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We know that, for $z \in B(0,r/2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{il} \partial_{z_l}\left(g^{il}_{P^1}(Q^1_{\varepsilon_j} + \varepsilon_j z)|g_{P^1}((Q^1_{\varepsilon_j} + \varepsilon_j z))|^{1/2}\partial_{z_i}v_j^1(z)\right) \\ &= |g_{P^1}((Q^1_{\varepsilon_j}+\varepsilon_j z))|^{1/2}\left\{-(a-\omega^2)v_j^1(z) + (v_j^1(z))^{p-1} - q\omega^2\left(2 - q\tilde{\psi}_j(z)\right)\tilde{\psi}_j(z)v_j^1(z)\right\} := f(z); \end{aligned}$$

it is easy to see that $f \in L^{\frac{6}{p-1}}$, thus we have

$$\|v_j^1\|_{H^{2,\frac{6}{p-1}}(B(0,r/2))} \le \|v_j^1\|_{L^{\frac{6}{p-1}}(B(0,r/2))} + \|f\|_{L^{\frac{6}{p-1}}(B(0,r/2))} \le C$$

and we can use a bootstrap argument to prove that indeed $f \in L^s$, and $v_j^1 \in H^{2,s}(B(0,r/2))$ for s sufficiently large, and, by Sobolev embedding, that $v_j^1 \in C^{0,\theta}(B(0,r/2))$ for some $0 < \theta < 1$. The same argument may be used to prove that $\tilde{\psi}_j$ is continuous. Then, by the Schauder estimates ([25], page 93), that is

$$\|v_j^1\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(B(0,\tilde{r}))} \le \|v_j^1\|_{C^{0,\theta}(B(0,r/2))} + \|f\|_{C^{0,\theta}(B(0,r/2))} \le C,$$

we conclude that $v_j^1 \to \tilde{v}^1$ in $C^2_{\text{loc}}(B(0,T))$ for all $T > 0$ and, since $\tilde{v}^1(0) \geq a - \omega^2$, $\tilde{v}^1 \not\equiv 0$. Thus $\tilde{v}^1 = U$ positive and radially symmetric. We can repeat the same argument for $P^2_{\varepsilon_j}$. Now, choose $\bar{R}$ such that

$$\int_{B(0,\bar{R})} |\nabla U|^2 + (a-\omega^2)U^2 > \frac{2p}{p-2} \cdot \frac{m_\infty + \delta}{2}.$$

For ε_j sufficiently small, we have that $\varepsilon_j \bar{R} \leq \frac{d_g(P^1,P^2)}{2}$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\varepsilon_j}(u_{\varepsilon_j}) &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \|u_{\varepsilon_j}\|^2_{\varepsilon_j} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j^3} \int_{B_g(P^1,\varepsilon_j\bar{R}) \cup B_g(P^2,\varepsilon_j\bar{R})} \varepsilon^2 |\nabla_g u_{\varepsilon_j}|^2 + (a-\omega^2) u^2_{\varepsilon_j} \\ &\to 2\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \int_{B(0,\bar{R})} |\nabla U|^2 + (a-\omega^2)U^2 > m_\infty + \delta \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

which leads us to a contradiction. □

Lemma 23. *Let u_ε be a solution of (1) such that $I_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \leq m_\infty + \delta < 2m_\infty$. Then, when ε is sufficiently small, u_ε has a unique maximum point.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_j \to 0$ such that u_{ε_j} has at least two maximum points $P^1_{\varepsilon_j}$ and $P^2_{\varepsilon_j}$. By Lemma 22 we know that $d_g(P^1_{\varepsilon_j}, P^2_{\varepsilon_j}) \to 0$.

Step 1. It holds

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} d_g(P^1_{\varepsilon_j}, P^2_{\varepsilon_j}) = +\infty \tag{31}$$

Suppose, by contradiction, that $d_g(P^1_{\varepsilon_j}, P^2_{\varepsilon_j}) \leq c\varepsilon_j$ for some $c > 0$. Consider

$$w_{\varepsilon_j} = u_{\varepsilon_j}(\exp_{P^1_{\varepsilon_j}}(\varepsilon_j z)) \text{ with } |z| \leq c.$$

For any j, w_{ε_j} has two maximum points in $B(0,c)$. Moreover, we can argue, as in Lemma 22 that $w_{\varepsilon_j} \to U$ in $C^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and this is a contradiction.

Step 2. We show that (31) leads to a contradiction.

In light of (31) we have that, fixed $\rho > 0$, then $B_g(P^1_{\varepsilon_j}, \rho\varepsilon_j) \cap B_g(P^2_{\varepsilon_j}, \rho\varepsilon_j) = \emptyset$ for j large. Then we proceed as in Lemma 22 and we get the contradiction. □

Lemma 24. *Write $u_\varepsilon = W_{P_\varepsilon,\varepsilon} + \Psi_\varepsilon$ where $W_{P_\varepsilon,\varepsilon}$ is defined in (3). It holds that $\|\Psi_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(M)} \to 0$ and for any $\rho > 0$ $\|u_\varepsilon - W_{P_\varepsilon,\varepsilon}\|_{C^2(B_g(P_\varepsilon,\varepsilon\rho))} \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.*

Proof. By the C^2 convergence proved in Lemma 22 we have that, given $\rho > 0$,

$$\|u_\varepsilon - W_{P_\varepsilon,\varepsilon}\|_{C^2(B_g(P_\varepsilon,\varepsilon\rho))} = \|u_\varepsilon(\exp_{P_\varepsilon}(\varepsilon z)) - U(z)\|_{C^2(B(0,\rho))} \to 0$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Moreover, since u_ε has a unique maximum point by Lemma 23, we have that, for any $\rho > 0$,

$$\max_{x \in M \smallsetminus B_g(P_\varepsilon,\varepsilon\rho)} u_\varepsilon(x) = \max_{x \in \partial B_g(P_\varepsilon,\varepsilon\rho)} u_\varepsilon(x) = \max_{|z|=\rho} U(z) + \sigma(\varepsilon) \leq ce^{-\alpha\rho} + \sigma_1(\varepsilon)$$

for some constant $c, \alpha > 0$ and for some $\sigma_1(\varepsilon) \to 0$ for $\varepsilon \to 0$. This proves the claim. □

References

[1] A. Ambrosetti, D. Ruiz, *Multiple bound states for the Schroedinger-Poisson problem*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **10** (2008) 391–404.

[2] A. Azzollini, P. D'Avenia, A. Pomponio, *On the Schroedinger-Maxwell equations under the effect of a general nonlinear term*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincar Anal. Non Linaire **27** (2010), no. 2, 779–791.

[3] A. Azzollini, A. Pomponio, *Ground state solutions for the nonlinear Schroedinger-Maxwell equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **345** (2008) no. 1, 90–108.

[4] A. Azzollini, A. Pomponio, *Ground state solutions for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon-Maxwell equations*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **35** (2010), no. 1, 33–42.

[5] V. Benci, C. Bonanno, and A.M Micheletti. *On the multiplicity of solutions of a nonlinear elliptic problem on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **252** (2007), no. 2, 464–489.

[6] J.Bellazzini, L.Jeanjean, T.Luo, *Existence and instability of standing waves with prescribed norm for a class of Schroedinger-Poisson equations* in press on Proc. London Math. Soc. (arXiv http://arxiv.org/abs/1111.4668)

[7] V. Benci, *Introduction to Morse theory: A new approach*, in: Topological Nonlinear Analysis, in: Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., vol. 15, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995, pp. 37–177.

[8] V. Benci, G. Cerami, *The effect of the domain topology on the number of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic problems*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **114** (1991) 79–93.

[9] V. Benci, G. Cerami, *Multiple positive solutions of some elliptic problems via the Morse theory and the domain topology*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **2** (1994) 29–48.

[10] V.Benci, D.Fortunato, *An eigenvalue problem for the Schroedinger-Maxwell equations*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **11** (1998), no. 2, 283–293.

[11] V. Benci, D. Fortunato, *Solitary waves of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon field equation coupled with the Maxwell equations*, Rev. Math. Phys. **14** (2002), no. 4, 409–420.

[12] D. Cassani, *Existence and non-existence of solitary waves for the critical Klein-Gordon equation coupled with Maxwell's equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **58** (2004) no. 7-8, 733–747.

[13] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, *Solution globale des Equations de Maxwell-Dirac-Klein-Gordon*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **31** (1982), no. 2, 267–288.

[14] T. D'Aprile, D. Mugnai, *Solitary waves for nonlinear Klein-Gordon-Maxwell and Schroedinger-Maxwell equations*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **134** (2004), no. 5, 893–906.

[15] T. D'Aprile, D. Mugnai, *Non-existence results for the coupled Klein-Gordon-Maxwell equations*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **4** (2004), no. 3, 307–322.

[16] T. D'Aprile, J. Wei, *Layered solutions for a semilinear elliptic system in a ball*, J. Differential Equations **226** (2006) , no. 1, 269–294.

[17] T. D'Aprile, J. Wei, *Clustered solutions around harmonic centers to a coupled elliptic system*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **24** (2007), no. 4, 605–628.

[18] P. D'Avenia, L. Pisani, *Nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations coupled with Born-Infeld type equations*, Electron. J. Differential Equations **26** (2002), No. 26, 13 pp.

[19] P. D'Avenia, L. Pisani, G. Siciliano, *Klein-Gordon-Maxwell system in a bounded domain*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **26** (2010), no. 1, 135–149.

[20] P. D'Avenia, L. Pisani, G. Siciliano, *Dirichlet and Neumann problems for Klein-Gordon-Maxwell systems*, Nonlinear Anal. **71** (2009), no. 12, e1985–e1995.

[21] E. Deumens, *The Klein-Gordon-Maxwell nonlinear system of equations*, Phys. D. **18** (1986), no. 1-3, 371–373.

[22] O.Druet, E.Hebey, *Existence and a priori bounds for electrostatic Klein-Gordon-Maxwell systems in fully inhomogeneous spaces*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **12** (2010), no. 5, 831–869.

[23] M. Ghimenti, A.M. Micheletti, *Low energy solutions for the semiclassical limit of Schroedinger Maxwell systems,* submitted paper.

[24] M. Ghimenti, A.M. Micheletti, A. Pistoia, *The role of the scalar curvature in some singularly perturbed coupled elliptic systems on Riemannian manifolds,* submitted paper.

[25] D. Gilbarg, N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order. Second edition.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[26] I. Ianni, G. Vaira, *On concentration of positive bound states for the Schroedinger-Poisson problem with potentials*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **8** (2008), no. 3, 573–595.

[27] Kikuchi, *On the existence of solutions for a elliptic system related to the Maxwell-Schroedinger equations*, Non- linear Anal. **67** (2007) 1445–1456.

[28] S. Klainerman, M. Machedon, *On the Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equation with finite energy*, Duke Math. J. **74** (1994) 19–44.

[29] N. Masmoudi, K. Nakanishi, *Uniqueness of finite energy solutions for Maxwell-Dirac and Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **243** (2003), no. 1, 123–136.

[30] N. Masmoudi, K. Nakanishi, *Nonrelativistic limit from Maxwell-Klein-Gordon and Maxwell-Dirac to Poisson-Schroedinger*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2003**, no. 13, 697–734.

[31] D. Mugnai, *Coupled Klein-Gordon and Born-Infeld-type equations: Looking for solitary waves*, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. **460** (2004), no. 2045, 1519–1527.

[32] R. S. Palais, *Homotopy theory of infinite dimensional manifolds*, Topology **5** (1966), 1–16.

[33] L.Pisani, G.Siciliano, *Note on a Schroedinger-Poisson system in a bounded domain*, Appl. Math. Lett. **21** (2008), no. 5, 521–528.

[34] D. Ruiz, *Semiclassical states for coupled Schroedinger-Maxwell equations*: Concentration around a sphere, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. **15** (2005), no. 1, 141–164.

[35] G. Siciliano, *Multiple positive solutions for a Schroedinger-Poisson-Slater system*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **365** (2010), no. 1, 288–299.

[36] Z. Wang, H.S. Zhou, *Positive solution for a nonlinear stationary Schroedinger-Poisson system in* $\mathbb{R}^3$*,* Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **18** (2007) 809–816.

(Marco Ghimenti) Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa, via F. Buonarroti 1/c, 56127 Pisa, Italy
E-mail address: `marco.ghimenti@dma.unipi.it.`

(Anna Maria Micheletti) Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa, via F. Buonarroti 1/c, 56127 Pisa, Italy
E-mail address: `a.micheletti@dma.unipi.it.`